

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

YVONNE FROST,

Plaintiff,

-against-

CITY OF NEW YORK (HRA),

Defendant.

20-CV-0963 (CM)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL
UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1651

COLLEEN McMAHON, Chief United States District Judge:

On November 7, 2019, Plaintiff was barred from filing any new action *in forma pauperis* (IFP) without first obtaining from the Court leave to file. *See Frost v. City of New York (HRA)*, ECF 1:19-CV-8936, 6 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 7, 2019). Plaintiff files this new *pro se* case seeking to proceed IFP. Because Plaintiff has not sought leave from the Court to file this action, the Court dismisses this action without prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to comply with the November 7, 2019 order.¹

The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *See Coppededge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 13, 2020
New York, New York



COLLEEN McMAHON
Chief United States District Judge

¹ Plaintiff has consented to electronic service. (ECF No. 3.)